Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/429,295	BROWN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thuan D. Dang	1764
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Thuan D. Dang</u> .	(3) <u>Diane Kilpatick-Lee</u> .	
(2) <u>Louis Monero</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 December 2003</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Norris (5,157,201) and	Mazurek et al (4,788,376).	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. ﹝	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>applicants are informed in maintain that Norris teaches sulfur must be removed. How removal of the sulfur as taught by Norris is the matter of ch</u>	that a restriction letter will be ever, the examiner does not	sent by the Office. Applicants
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that	greed would render the claims would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT C Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action has alread THE MAILING DATE OF TH OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	y been filed, APPLICANT IS IIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
	1	
	<u> </u>	
	my la	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required